

Message Text

PAGE 01 TAIPEI 02552 091010Z

17

ACTION EA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 PM-03 NSC-05 SP-02 SS-15 L-02 CIAE-00

INR-07 NSAE-00 PA-01 USIA-06 PRS-01 ACDA-05 H-02

AID-05 IGA-01 EB-07 OMB-01 SAM-01 /075 W

----- 076146

R 090705Z MAY 75

FM AMEMBASSY TAIPEI

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5122

INFO SECDEF WASHDC

JCS WASHDC

USLO PEKING

AMCONSUL HONG KONG

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

COMUSJAPAN YOKOTA

5AF YOKOTA

CINCPACAF HICKAM

13AF CLARK AB

327AD TAIPEI

6217 TACGP CCK AB

CHMAAG TAIPEI

COMUSTDC TAIPEI

CINCPAC

C O N F I D E N T I A L TAIPEI 2552

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, TW

SUBJECT: F-4 WITHDRAWAL FROM TAIWAN: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

REF: A. AMEMB TAIPEI 1090 (060719Z MAR 75) NOTAL;

B. AMEMB TAIPEI 3783 (150440Z JUN 74) NOTAL;

C. AMEMB TAIPEI 1102 (061037Z MAR 75) NOTAL;

D. AMEMB TAIPEI 1158 (101007Z MAR 75) NOTAL;

E. 5AF (020200Z MAY 75) NOTAL.

CINCPAC FOR J-74 AND POLAD; SECDEF FOR OASD/PA

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 TAIPEI 02552 091010Z

JOINT EMBASSY/TDC MESSAGE

1. THE USAF F-4 SQUADRON AT CHING CHUAN KANG (CCK) AIR
BASE, TAIWAN, IS SCHEDULED TO BE WITHDRAWN TO KADENA AB

29-30 MAY 75. NEITHER THE GROC (AS INDICATED REF A AND RECENTLY REITERATED BY MND SPOKESMAN) NOR US MISSION BELIEVE THAT AN ANNOUNCEMENT CONCERNING THE WITHDRAWAL OF THIS SQUADRON IS NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE. HOWEVER, IN ORDER TO BE RESPONSIVE TO POSSIBLE NEWS MEDIA QUERIES FOLLOWING THE F-4 WITHDRAWAL, WE RECOMMEND FOLLOWING QUESTIONS/ ANSWERS TO USED BY DOD, CINCPAC AND TDC SPOKESMEN IN RESPONSE TO QUERIES ONLY. THEY CONSTITUTE UPDATE AND EXPANSION OF Q/A'S CONTAINED IN REF B. Q/A'S CONTAINED IN REFS C& D CONTINUE PROVIDE ADEQUATE GUIDANCE FOR EPLYING TO QUERIES ON BROADER QUESTION OF US MILITARY REDUCTIONS ON TAIWAN.

Q-1: HAS THE F-4 SQUADRON ON TAIWAN (AT CCK) BEEN WITHDRAWN"

A-1: YES.

Q-2: WHEN WAS THE SQUADRON WITHDRAWN?

A-2: THE SQUADRON WAS WITHDRAWN IN LATE MAY.

Q-3: WHERE WAS THE SQUADRON LOCATED?

A-3: AT CHING CHUAN KANG (CCK) AIR BASE.

Q-4: WHERE DID THE SQUADRON GO?

A-4: THE SQUADRON RETURNED TO ITS BASE AT KADENA, OKINAWA.

Q-5: WHY WERE THE F-4'S SENT TO TAIWAN?

A-5: THE F-4'S WERE SENT TEMPORARILY TO TAIWAN TO FILL AN AIR DEFENSE GAP RESULTING FROM THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA'S LOAN OF F-5 AIRCRAFT TO THE U.S. IN NOVEMBER 1972 FOR TRANSFER TO THE REPUBLIC OF VIETNAM.

Q-6: WHY ARE THE F-4'S NO LONGER NEEDED ON TAIWAN?

A-6: UNDER THE UNDERSTANDING IN WHICH THEREPUBLIC OF CHINA LOANED THE AIRCRAFT, THE U.S. AGREED TO STATION USAF F-4 AIRCRAFT IN TAIWAN UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE LOANDED AIRCRAFT COULD BE RETURNED OR REPLACED. THOSE BORROWED AIRCRAFT HAVE NOW BEEN REPLACED.

Q-7: WHAT TYPE OF AIRCRAFT WERE GIVEN THE ROC AS REPLACEMENT FOR THE ONES IT LENT?

A-7: F-5 AIRCRAFT.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 TAIPEI 02552 091010Z

Q-8: F-5A'S OR F-5E'S.

A-8: BOTH F-5A'S AND F-5E'S.

Q-9: ARE THERE ANY US COMBAT AIRCRAFT OR UNITS NOW STATIONED ON TAIWAN?

A-9: NO

Q-10: HOW MANY MEN/PLANES ARE INVOLVED IN THIS WITHDRAWAL?

A-10: APPROXIMATELY 450 PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO THE SQUADRON WILL BE WITHDRAWN; IN ADDITION APPROXIMATELY 900 ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO CCK AIRBASE ARE BEING WITHDRAWN. THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 18-24 AIRCRAFT ASSIGNED TO A NORMAL F-4 SQUADRON.

Q-11: WHEN WAS THE FIRST F-4 SQUADRON WITHDRAWN?

A-11: ONE SQUADRON RETURNED TO ITS HOME BASE IN JULY

1974.

Q-12: IS THE WITHDRAWAL OF THESE PLANES RELATED IN ANY WAY TO THE SHANGHAI COMMUNIQUE OF 1972?

A-12: THE WITHDRAWAL OF THESE PLANES IS RELATED TO AN UNDERSTANDING REACHED IN NOVEMBER 1972 BETWEEN THE U.S. AND THE ROC. THAT UNDERSTANDING SPECIFIED THAT THE F-4'S WOULD BE WITHDRAWN WHEN THE AIRCRAFT LOANED BY THE ROC TO THE U.S. WERE EITHER RETURNED OR REPLACED. THOSE BORROWED AIRCRAFT HAVE NOW BEEN REPLACED.

2. THIS MESSAGE RESPONDS TO REF E.

3. EMBASSY TOKYO COMUSJAPAN MAY WISH TO COMMENT ON Q/A-4.

4. AS INDICATED REF A, GROC REGARDS WITHDRAWAL AS SENSITIVE. SPOKESMAN SHOULD RESPOND MINIMALLY TO ALL QUERIES ON THIS SUBJECT AND SHOULD NOT REPEAT NOT VOLUNTEER ANY OF FOREGOING INFORMATION EXCEPT IN RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS.

POPPL

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MILITARY AIRCRAFT, MILITARY EVACUATION, FORCE & TROOP LEVELS, MILITARY BASES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 09 MAY 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975TAIPEI02552
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750162-1073
From: TAIPEI
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197505100/baaaadri.tel
Line Count: 133
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: greeneet
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 14 JUL 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14 JUL 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <14 NOV 2003 by greeneet>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: MARR, TW, US
To: STATE INFO SECDEF
JCS
PEKING
HONG KONG
TOKYO
COMUSJAPAN YOKOTA

YOKOTA
CINCPACAF HICKAM
CLARK AB
TAIPEI
TACGP CCK AB
CHMAAG TAIPEI
COMUSTDC TAIPEI
CINCPAC

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006